



#5
Response
8/24/01
amr

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application Serial No. 09/775,716
Filing Date February 1, 2001
Inventor Mark E. Tuttle et al.
Assignee Micron Technology, Inc.
Group Art Unit 2876
Examiner Jamara A. Franklin
Attorney's Docket No. MI40-322
Title: Method of Manufacturing an Enclosed Transceiver

RESPONSE TO JULY 17, 2001 OFFICE ACTION

RECEIVED
AUG 23 2001
TC 2800 MAIL ROOM

To: Box Fee-Amendment
Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

From: Frederick M. Fliegel, Ph.D. (Tel. 509-624-4276; Fax 509-838-3424)
Wells, St. John, Roberts, Gregory & Matkin P.S.
601 W. First Avenue, Suite 1300
Spokane, WA 99201-3828

Sir:

Responsive to the Office Action dated July 17, 2001, page 2, paragraphs 1 and 2, a timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) is enclosed to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground. Said disclaimer also provides information that the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application.

Claims 25-48 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over (respectively) claims 1-30 of U.S. Patent # 6,220,516.

In view of the foregoing and the submission of the Terminal Disclaimer, allowance of claims 25-48 is requested.